1. **Call to Order**
   D. Boschini called the meeting to order.
2. **Approval of Minutes**
   Minutes of April 25, 2019 approved upon clarification of where J. Tarjan comments begin and end, and to D. Boschini’s comments about Nursing Interim MOUAP.
3. **Announcements and Information**
   a. There are two agendas for the day.
      i. Session One - Outgoing Senate business. There are two resolutions on the Consent Agenda.
      ii. Session Two - Incoming Senate and election of Standing Committee Chairs
   b. Elections and Appointments – A. Hegde acknowledged Senator I. Kasselstrand for making the drive from the Antelope Valley to participate in person in today’s meeting.
      i. Senate At-Large – the call ends today at 5:00 pm.
      ii. Appointments to various committees - The EC made appointments and recommendations based on the response to the Call for Interest:
         • Academic Council for International Programs (ACIP) - D. Olson appointed
         • Academic Petitions Committee
            o BPA: M. Way appointed
o NSME: Rebecca Larson appointed
• Teacher Education Advisory Committee (TEAC)
  o A&H: A. Ressler, and M. Parada appointed
  o SS&E: A. Hays appointed
• Faculty Athletic Representative (FAR) - R. LaFever recommended for appointment by the President.
• Instructionally Related Activities Committee (IRA) - C. Mathis appointed.
• Student Recreation Center Advisory Committee (SRC Advisory Committee) - J. Miller appointed.
• Student Union Board – J. Millar appointed.
• University Strategic Planning and Budget Advisory Board (USP & BAC) – C. Lam appointed.

iii. During the Second Session, the incoming Senate will elect the Standing Committee Chairs for 2019-2020.

iv. All Senators are required to serve on a Standing Committee. Submit your Interest Form to B. Bywaters. D. Boschini thanked A. Hegde and B. Bywaters for the smoothest election process that she has seen. It is important to have all the committees populated. She thanked the group for their participation in the process.

c. WSCUC Report – D. Jackson (Time Certain 10:40) (handout) The slide presentation included details of the attached July 30, 2019, Oct 7-10 Accreditation visit. The most important process dates and the required submittals have been met with a positive response from the reviewing committee. The off-site review has been completed and can be reviewed on the WSCUC website. The Antelope Valley site visit has been completed. The review committee will be on campus the mornings of October 8, 9, and 10. Save the dates. It’s important to engage in the process and attend the meetings. She reminded the group that the work on accreditation has been taking place for many years under the leadership of V. Harper while he was the AVP of Academic Programs and the former Campus Liaison Officer. His experience put CSUB in an excellent position at this process. There were ten work groups that collected documents. See attached presentation or link to presentation. There were two campus forums to collect ideas. CSUB Lines of Inquiry are eight items that we know we need to do better on. Last fall, Draft #2 was presented at a forum to obtain feedback. The report was endorsed by the Senate and ASI. The offsite reviews were completed. WSCUC provided CSUB with their CSU Bakersfield WSCUC Offsite Review Debrief (handout). They communicated their
commendations of the campus’ thorough review and recommendations. Develop a plan to prepare for the October WSCUC visit. They have asked for particular documents by July 30th. These are things they want to converse over. For example, an assessment organizational chart. She asked the Senate members to supply documents, as needed. There is a long list of people that the review committee want to meet with on October 8 and 9. D. Jackson promised to have someone from Advising Leadership Team meet with the WSCUC review committee. She will continue V. Harper’s practice of keeping the campus informed and engaged. See WSCUC website for timeline, etc. D. Boschini was at the WSCUC off-site review. She acknowledged D. Jackson’s enthusiasm and attention to detail. The Institutional Report was limited to 75 pages. The campus couldn’t express everything it knows. The review committee will be asking questions they know they should be asking. General Education is within one of the Lines of Inquiry. The review committee has two members from other campuses. They are well informed about EO 1100 and EO 1110 and interested in CSUB’s handling of the issues. Our campus handled the EOs more smoothly than many. We don’t expect any surprises as there’s nothing that we aren’t working on already. The leadership at CSUB has been great. The institution has been great. D. Jackson informed the Senate that the documents requested by the WSCUC committee are stored in the cloud. A. Hegde asked for those documents that address Alignment to Strategic Plan and Resource Allocation. She will bring the documents to the steering committee. A. Hegde stated that the CSUB Budget & Planning Committee has been asking for the documentation related to Alignment to Strategic Plan and Resource Allocation. D. Jackson collected 550 documents. Not all are available online, yet they are available somewhere if people need to access them. D. Boschini said that the steering committee stated financial transparency as a concern. It’s on the WSCUC review committee’s radar. The Strategic Plan isn’t complete at this point. We aren’t supposed to have documents that aren’t yet complete. A. Hegde’s perception is that the strategic decisions are based on resources allocation and reserves from the last 10 years. V. Harper’s recollection of the off-site review is that the review committee is interested in the current data. At the conclusion of the strategic plan the documents will be commissioned to be created and available. D. Boschini said that it will be an improvement over the past ten years. That’s why Financial Transparency went into the Institutional Report Line of Inquiry.

4. Approval of Agenda
D. Boschini informed the group that it is the responsibility of the Senate to weight-in and support the awarding of degrees through a resolution. Additionally, there is a resolution recognizing K. Knutzen for her contribution to CSUB. E. Correa moved to approve the Agenda, including the two resolutions on the Consent Agenda. I. Kasselstrand seconded. The meeting agenda and RES 181916 and RES 181917 approved.

5. ASCSU Report

J. Tarjan is in Sacramento participating in the Course Numbering Identifying (C-ID) meeting. J. Millar reported that the Academic Affairs Committee will be bringing resolutions forward regarding Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the classroom, and Student Success. At the next meeting, there will be questions asked of the Chancellor about the state’s revised budget. There are two resolutions about the GE Task Force Report: one to accept the report, and the other not to accept. A. Schmidt asked how the students’ definition of student success was incorporated into the resolution to be put before the ASCSU. J. Miller responded that the definition was based on a white paper produced by members of Fresno State University and San Jose State University. They subsequently conducted a student inquiry to obtain student’s definition of success. It was an open-ended question framed as a sentence completion which started, “Student success is...” The CSUF and CSUSJ are beginning the process of collecting information from the CSSA and some other campuses are planning to do something similar. The results will be compiled into themes and codes. A. Schmidt recommended that CSU Channel Islands and CSUB be included since they share similar views on what makes for student success, such as the desire for more family-oriented campus life and the practice of shared governance. J. Millar is on the CSUB AS&SS committee and aware of those concerns and will pose those factors. M. Martinez requested that the ASCSU include a message emphasizing that the decision to reject the GE Task Force Report was unanimous on nine campuses and half of the student bodies in the CSU system. The GE Task Force issue is on the radar of several people in the legislature. He will discuss these items further with J. Millar. D. Boschini concluded the discussion stating that CSUB has a resolution, and that is CSUB’s powerful message.

6. Interim Provost Report

V. Harper said that the Investiture Week was a successful event. He expressed his appreciation to all those involved, in particular Delores Huerta. He reminded the Senate and encouraged attendance at the Strategic Plan Forum. It’s an opportunity for the five goal workgroups to share their completed work and how it relates to the Strategic Plan. It’s important to remember what President Zelezny said during the Investiture about the perception of CSUB as a commuter campus and the reality of the transition into a metropolitan campus. Consider that carefully and have a conversation of what that
means to attend our campus. The county has grown quickly. This campus is going to change due to the influences of new faculty and students we’ll have in the coming years. We want to be very proactive. He ended by thanking the Senate for a very productive and good year. D. Boschini thanked V. Harper for filling the role quickly and well and caring about the people he works with.

7. Committee and Report Requests
(Minutes from AAC, AS&SS, BPC and FAC are posted on the Academic Senate Webpage)

a. Executive Committee (A. Hegde) The President visited and continues to work well with the EC. She was asked about the number of Tenure Track lines. She was quick to defer to the Interim Provost. She believes in an academic structure whereby the Provost acts as the Chief Academic Officer and has the final say; it’s not her role. Next week, V. Harper will inform the school deans of how many tenure track lines they will be getting. The Deans will decide which departments get the additional positions. The EC expressed concern about fund raising. President Zelezny pointed that she will be the face of the university meeting with potential donors, and then she’d work with her team in University Advancement. The President is actively meeting with donors. The EC acknowledged that she has been busy and that she attempts to attend every possible event. The EC especially appreciates her role in coming to Senate with her reports and her openness to various discussions. Before the President joined the EC, the committee discussed certain issues: Appointments and recommendations to university-wide committees, decided on the Senate calendar 2019-2020, and what constitutes exceptional service as it pertains to the Article 20.37 Award for release time. The EC recognizes that there are faculty members who really do exceptional service and because of that, they do not have time to fill out the application! The EC discussed the planning of the BC/CSUB partnership, which is being referred to as the BC Southwest Campus. The construction company has broken ground and modular units are scheduled to be in place before 235 full-time equivalent (FTE) students occupy the corner of the campus. At any given time, there could be as many as 500 BC students there. A lot of negotiating still needs to take place about access to parking and services. The Interim Provost updated the EC on the searches. There are interviews scheduled on campus of AVP EM candidates. The positions of Director of Academic Operations and the Interim Dean of SSE were still in negotiation. Since then the positions were announced: L. Zuzarte and Tanya Boone-Holladay, respectively. University Week was discussed briefly. The intention is to deliver a positive message to faculty. While we still need to discuss unpleasant things, we want faculty to leave feeling good about the upcoming year. Perhaps a speaker or two will be included. D. Boschini opened the floor to questions. M. Slaughter asked if there would be
another teaching day this year. She said it was a real boost. D. Schecter replied that the University Week Committee is working with C. Commuri on having a similar teaching event on Wednesday. Please send thoughts to C. Commuri.

b. Academic Affairs Committee (M. Danforth) (deferred)
c. Academic Support & Student Services Committee (E. Correa) (deferred)
d. Budget & Planning Committee (B. Street) (deferred)
e. Faculty Affairs Committee (M. Rush) (deferred)
f. Staff Report (K. Ziegler-Lopez) (deferred)
g. ASI Report (A. Schmidt) (deferred)

8. Resolutions
   a. Consent Agenda
      i. RES 181916 Commendation of Dr. Kathleen Knutzen (Time Certain 10:05) A. Hegde presented the Commendation. K. Knutzen stated her affection for CSUB and her praise for the Enrollment Management department.
      ii. RES 181917 Commencement May 2019 - D. Boschini presented on behalf of the EC. It’s on the faculty’s recommendation to grant degrees to those who earned them.

b. Old Business (Time Certain 10:15)
   i. RES 181914 Graduate Director Term Limits and Review – Handbook Change* Second Reading M. Rush informed the group that the committee added the Senate’s recommendation to include department chairs and other coordinators (underlined) to the resolution. Further, the resolution states explicitly that those directly involved consult with their constituents. D. Boschini asked if there were any concerns based on feedback from senators’ constituents. The resolution went for a vote. The resolution was approved, unanimously.

9. Open Forum Items (Time Certain 10:30 a.m.) A. Lauer is concerned about the negative effects of blowing dust and debris on students as Facilities is still blowing things around the campus at 08:30. It’s been an on-going problem. The material is blown into the parking lot and it gets moved into buildings too. Additionally, the heavy construction at the BC site is a potential health risk for Valley Fever since they will be digging deep. D. Boschini asked V. Harper to communicate Senator Lauer’s concern to Facilities. He will talk to T. Davis. D. Boschini asked for feedback. Eliminate the exhaust and noise. The recommendations are to do it without lifting the dust as a vacuum would, and to clean the campus on weekends or nights when people are not around. It’s a unique Valley problem that needs to be addressed. A. Lauer said while the campus has made progress, more needs to be done toward increasing sustainability practices. Reduce single-use items. Bring your own cup. Recent End Reports [i.e. U.N. International Panel
The entirety of the CSU system should be concerned about sending a message to the students by their example of how to do things right. This is important! D. Boschini said that sustainability is a topic that recurs. A. Hegde said that A. Lauer and others on the Sustainability Committee will be meeting this week. Jennifer Sanchez is the campus Director of Sustainability. The first event of Investiture Week was about Sustainability and a commitment to that. We can make it happen.

10. Adjournment

* Changes to be made to University Handbook

---

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, BAKERSFIELD

ACADEMIC SENATE

AGENDA

Thursday, May 9, 2019

Health Center Conference Room

11:00 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.

Session Two


Absent: M. Suleiman, K. Szick, A. Wan, J. Woods

Visitor: D. Gove, D. Wu

AGENDA FOR SECOND SESSION (INCOMING) 11:00 – 11:30

1. Introduction of Members (Handout) D. Boschini welcomed the new members present. Some of the new members not present have scheduling conflicts. Senators are expected to serve on a standing committee except State Senators J. Tarjan and J. Millar. They are serve on sub-committees of the Statewide Academic Senate. The Dean does not serve on a committee as he/she is already pulled in multiple directions. The Interim Provost is an Ex-Officio non-voting member of the Faculty Affairs Committee (FAC). The Academic Senate
Chair is always assigned to the Budget and Planning Committee (BPC). The Academic Senate Vice-Chair has been appointed to serve on the BPC.

2. **Approval of Academic Senate Meeting Schedule 2019-2020 (Handout)**
   
   E. Correa moved to approve the meeting schedule. R. Gearhart seconded. Approved.

3. **Election of Standing Committee Chairs**
   
   D. Boschini spoke of the responsibilities of the chairs: preparing agendas, ensure that minutes are taken, invite guests to add to discussion, follow-up on issues. If people in the committee bring up issues not already on the docket, they are not to be solved within the committee. The chairs bring new issue(s) back to the EC to process and make a referral as needed. It’s a fairly independent role. However, the EC will help the chairs make good decisions and support their actions.

   D. Boschini made a call for nominations to the four committees. If there is only one nominee, they would be elected. If there is more than one nominee, there will be a vote.

   Academic Affairs Committee – The outgoing chair is Melissa Danforth. She is still on the Senate. M. Slaughter nominated M. Danforth and she accepted. Hearing no other recommendation, M. Danforth was elected by acclamation to Chair of AAC.

   Academic Support and Student Services Committee - M. Danforth nominated E. Correa. Elaine Correa accepted. She was elected by acclamation to be Chair of AS&SS.

   Budget and Planning Committee - A. Hegde nominated B. Street. M. Slaughter seconded. Brian accepted. He was elected by acclamation to be the Chair of BPC.

   Faculty Affairs Committee – It is a very active committee and D. Boschini’s recommended that the chair position is not for a newly elected Senator. B. Street nominated M. Reese and she accepted. Mandy Rees is FAC chair by acclamation.

   D. Boschini invited the newly elected chair to the Executive Committee meeting, also known as the Summer Senate, scheduled for May 9, 2019. She thanked everyone for their willingness to serve.

4. **Adjournment**
   
   D. Boschini adjourned the meeting at 11:25.