

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, BAKERSFIELD

ACADEMIC SENATE

Academic Planning Manual (APM) Proposal – General Education Curriculum Committee
(GECCo)

RES 202119

AAC

RESOLVED: that the Academic Senate recommends the approval of the Proposal for Academic Planning Manual (APM) – General Education Curriculum Committee (GECCo).

RATIONALE: the Academic Affairs Committee of the Academic Senate has reviewed the proposal and agree that the editorial changes to the Academic Planning Manual, which specifies that school curriculum committees must approve the process first, followed by GECCo approval. AAC also agrees that their committee be the group that decides the appeals process.

Attachment: APM Changes_AAC

Distribution List:

President

Provost

Interim AVP AA and Dean of Academic Programs

Faculty Director GECCo

School Deans

Interim Dean Antelope Valley

Department Chairs

General Faculty

Approved by the Academic Senate March 4, 2021

Sent to the President March 12, 2021

Approved by the President March 12, 2021

Appeal Process Revision

Appeals Process.

1. New General Education course approval requests are first sent to the appropriate school curriculum committee for review ~~first~~. ~~After~~ With the approval of the school curriculum committee, the proposal is then sent to the General Education Curriculum Committee (GECCo) for approval to be included in the general education program.
2. ~~The committee~~GECCo reviews the request using the guidelines and criteria on record. ~~The committee~~GECCo provides a written justification of its findings. In case of rejection, (a) course revision(s) can be made based on the review and resubmitted to ~~the committee~~GECCo.
3. Following an unsuccessful re-submission, a written appeal can be filed with ~~CARS~~the Academic Affairs Committee (AAC) of the CSUB Academic Senate that outlines how the petitioner believes ~~the committee~~GECCo misinterpreted or otherwise violated its guidelines and procedures on record.
4. ~~CARS~~AAC will verify that all rules and procedures identified by the respective committee were followed in the course of the review. ~~CARS~~AAC will, if necessary, conduct oral interviews and request further information from the committee.
5. If the ~~CARS~~AAC review finds that the guidelines and procedures on record were applied correctly, the appeal is rejected.
6. If the ~~CARS~~AAC review finds that the guidelines and procedures on record were applied inappropriately, ~~the committee~~GECCo will be advised to reconsider. If ~~the committee~~GECCo cannot resolve the issues raised by ~~CARS~~AAC, then ~~CARS~~AAC will make a final decision.

NOTE: ~~CARS~~AAC only reviews whether procedures were followed. It does not review guidelines, criterion or courses.