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ABSTRACT

Grain size, lithology, and sedimentary structures of the Miocene/Pliocene basal Etchegoin Formation were described from cores within the southeastern edge of the San Joaquin Basin, north of Bakersfield, CA for depositional environment analysis. Lithological facies established from the cores were correlated to electric log signatures from oil wells within the area (e.g., fining upward, coarsening upward). Each electric log signature was mapped as a specific well symbol. Electric log correlations for the top and bottom of each subunit within the Basal Etchegoin were also used to construct structure, gross isochore, and net facies isochore maps. The isochore maps and the relative positioning of the electric log signatures supports the depositional environment shown in core as well as provide depositional facies between and outside of core locations.

Overall, the basal Etchegoin represents a transgressive sequence. Four stratigraphic units and three sedimentary facies were correlated and mapped. Facies from the lowermost stratigraphic unit, the Lower Basal Etchegoin, represent deposits ranging from the prodelta to the lower delta plain of a tide dominated delta. Facies from the overlying units, the Upper Basal Etchegoin and B Sand, are representative of a barrier island and lagoonal system, respectively. The fourth and uppermost unit, the Macoma Claystone, is an offshore marine deposit that completes the transgressive sequence.
INTRODUCTION

Location

The southern San Joaquin basin of California contains a large number of oil and gas reservoirs as a result of its organic-rich source rocks coupled with complex stratigraphy and tectonic activity that formed abundant stratigraphic and structural traps. Due to their economic value, there is great interest in increased geological understanding of the sands that form these reservoirs. There is also a large amount of subsurface well data containing valuable geologic information that contributes to the study of these formations. This study focuses on the basal sands of the Etchegoin Formation in T 27S, R 27E, Mount Diablo base meridian (MDBM), in the southeast portion of the San Joaquin Valley, north of Bakersfield, California (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Location map showing the San Joaquin Valley in California with its surrounding mountains, Bakersfield, the Bakersfield Arch, and the study area in red square (from Google Maps©).
There are two large oil fields within the study area that currently produce from the Etchegoin Formation sands: Kern Front and Poso Creek (Figure 2). Considering that these two fields have produced a cumulative 304 million barrels, with the Etchegoin being the primary reservoir for both fields (CA DOGGR, 2009), there is a significant lack of information on the environment of deposition of the Etchegoin within the area. Poso Creek Oil Field comprises the majority of the study area. The McVan area of the field is in the eastern portion of the township; the larger Premier and Enas areas are in the western portion of the township. While most of Kern Front Oil Field lies in the township to the south, it provides significant well control at the southern end of the study area. Wells within the West Area of Mount Poso Oil Field display the easternmost marine sands of the Etchegoin; east of this area the Etchegoin sands pinch out or grade laterally into nonmarine strata on the flanks of the Sierra Nevada Mountains (Perkins, 1987). Therefore, the West Area of Mount Poso Oil Field has been included in the study despite it being outside the T 27S, R 27E township boundaries.

Figure 2. Oil field map of T 27S, R 27E, Mount Diablo, CA. Study area is in red cross hatches. Well locations are shown as dots on maps. Various well symbols represent various well types (e.g., oil production, water injector, etc.)
Statement of Problem

Understanding the regional geology of oil-bearing sands has significant economic advantages. The depositional environment of reservoir sands not only influences rock properties such as permeability and porosity, but also impacts the areal extent and continuity of the sands.

While numerous studies have been conducted on the Etchegoin Formation on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley (Loomis, 1990; Lagoe et al., 2009; Prothero, 2010; Tucker and Thorne, 1998), only a few studies have been done on this formation in the eastern area of the basin (Link et al., 1990; Kodl et al., 1990; Bartow, 1991). In the Coalinga area, on the western slope of the valley, the Etchegoin Formation has a maximum thickness of 5485 ft (Loomis, 1990), a very high density of subsurface well data, and outcrops near the Kettleman Hills, (Figure 1). In the eastern part of the San Joaquin Valley, the Etchegoin does not crop out and is significantly thinner than its western counterpart.

This study will discuss depositional characteristics of the producing sands and provide an interpretation for the depositional environment of the basal sands of the Etchegoin Formation in and around the McVan Area of Poso Creek Oil Field.

Background

Stratigraphy

Cenozoic strata of the San Joaquin Valley thicken southeastward from about 2,600 ft over the western part of the Stockton arch to over 30,000 ft in the Maricopa-Tejon subbasin (Figure 1) (Bartow, 1991). On the east side of the San Joaquin Valley, the basin-filling sediments lie on a westward-tilted block of Sierra Nevada plutonic and metamorphic basement,
while sediments in the central and western parts of the valley are underlain by uplifted oceanic crust and trench deposits of Jurassic age (Figure 3) (Bartow, 1991).

Figure 3. Generalized stratigraphy of the southern San Joaquin Valley (modified from Gauteir et al., 2003).
As a result of deposition in a semi-enclosed basin, the thickness of the Etchegoin Formation is quite variable (Perkins, 1987). It is thickest (~5,000 ft) in the Coalinga region and pinches out or grades laterally into nonmarine strata along the northern, eastern and southern margins of the San Joaquin Basin (SJB) (Perkins, 1987). Along the axis of the valley, the thickness averages about 2,600 ft (Perkins, 1987).

Etchegoin strata have not been reported north of the Stockton Arch (Perkins, 1987). A thick sandstone sequence underlying the Etchegoin formation in the northwest Coalinga area (Figure 1), the Jacalitos Formation, is considered a lower member of the Etchegoin by some geologists (Berryman, 1973). Others have assigned the Jacalitos formation status within the Etchegoin Group which consists of the Jacalitos, Etchegoin, and San Joaquin formations (Loomis, 1989). However, the Jacalitos does not extend as far south as Elk Hills Oil Field (Figure 1) (Berryman, 1973) and studies from the oil fields in the eastern basin do not report it. In addition, the San Joaquin Formation does not extend to the eastern edges of the basin; hence, the designation of the Etchegoin Group as established at Coalinga is not applicable to this study.

An upper and lower division of the Etchegoin has been conventionally used throughout the valley although individual stratigraphic units cannot be correlated across the basin. The proportional thicknesses of the lower and upper units vary across the valley (Perkins, 1987). Generally, the lower Etchegoin consists of fine grained marine sediments that grade upward into coarser, more terrigenous sediments of the upper Etchegoin. The lower unit consists of clays and silts that are rich in biosiliceous material (Gillespie et al., 2008; Loomis, 1989; Berryman, 1973). The upper unit consists of interbedded sandstone and siltstone (Loomis, 1989; Berryman, 1973; Link et al., 1990). On the eastern slope of the SJB, the basal and upper units are separated by the Macoma Claystone (Figure 4) (CA DOGGR, 1998).
On the east side of the basin, the Etchegoin Formation is described as a distinctive 200-300 ft thick marine sandstone and mudstone (Link et. al., 1990) (Figure 5). The Etchegoin
unconformably overlies either the nonmarine upper Miocene Chanac Formation or the regressive marine Santa Margarita Formation. It is conformably overlain by either the shallow marine San Joaquin Formation or the nonmarine Plio-Pleistocene Kern River Formation (Link et al., 1990; Perkins, 1987; Foss, 1972). The Etchegoin formation grades into and intertongues with the lower Kern River formation towards the eastern margins of the basin (Gautier and Scheirer, 2003). The conformable and intertonguing relationships between the Kern River and Etchegoin formations represent episodic transgressive marine deposition (Kodl et al., 1990). The lower Etchegoin grades into the Macoma Claystone to the west (Link et al., 1990).

On the eastern slope of the basin, the Basal Etchegoin has been divided into Upper and Lower Basal units (Figure 6) (Link et al., 1990). According to Link et al. (1990), the Lower Basal Etchegoin (LBE) is a continuous, 50-75 ft thick unit overlying the Chanac in the nearby Kern Front oilfield. The Upper Basal Etchegoin (UBE) is 100-150 ft thick and consists of discontinuous, lenticular sand packages with a west to northwest horizontal trace.

Age

The Etchegoin is late Miocene to late Pliocene in age (Berryman, 1973). Disagreements regarding the age of the base of the group could be due to how the formation is defined. It is typically considered to consist of fluctuating shallow marine facies located stratigraphically above the deeper marine rocks of the Monterey Formation and Reef Ridge Shale and below shallow to nonmarine rocks of the San Joaquin and Tulare formations. These environmental conditions may have occurred at different times in different areas of the basin (Scheirer and Magoon, 2003; Loomis, 1990). Diatom assemblages suggestive of 5.3 - 5.5 Ma at the base of the Etchegoin Formation in Lost Hills, South Belridge, and Cymric oil fields were reported by
Loomis (1990). Ages of ~7 and 5.8 Ma from $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ fossil shell analyses were reported by Loomis (1990) at the base of the Jacalitos Formation. Detrital zircon fission-track analyses from

Figure 5. Generalized cross section of the southern San Joaquin Valley. Cross section is to the south of the study area. The Etchegoin Formation is highlighted in yellow (CA DOGGR, 1998).
an unspecified stratigraphic unit within the Etchegoin by Obradovich et al. (1981) yielded $7 \pm 1.2$ Ma, although Sarna-Wojcicki et al. (1991) suggests that the interval was contaminated with detrital zircons from older volcanic layers. Benthic foraminiferal stages correlated from the COSUNA project (COSUNA, 1984) also give an estimated age of ~7 Ma.

The age of the upper Etchegoin is better constrained; tephrochronologic correlations with tuff beds stratigraphically above the Etchegoin suggest its age ranges from 2.2 Ma to 4.5 +/- 0.5 Ma (Scheirer and Magoon, 2003; Loomis, 1990). Therefore, the age of the Etchegoin group...
ranges from about 7 Ma to 2.2 Ma. This time frame encompasses the late Miocene through Pliocene.

_Tectonic Regime_

Basin and Range faulting and related left-lateral movement on the Garlock fault began before Etchegoin deposition (Bartow, 1991). This extension resulted in the westward movement of the Sierra Nevada block and SJB and the related formation of the constraining bend in the San Andreas Fault (Bartow, 1991). The time at which the Sierra Nevada achieved its current elevation is still under much debate. However, most authors agree that most of the Sierra Nevada’s uplift occurred within the past 20 Ma, with at least 2 km of its current elevation having been gained within the last 10 Ma, and most likely within the last ~3 my (Zandt et al., 2004; Saleeby et al., 2003). Uplift accelerated in the late Cenozoic, beginning towards the southern end of the range (Bartow, 1991). Uplift of the Sierra Nevada was accompanied by minor east-west extension in the eastern basin (Beyer and Bartow, 1988). Also associated with the onset of Etchegoin deposition was the emergence of the southern part of the Diablo range (Figure 7), which lasted until 6 Ma, on the west side of the valley (Perkins, 1987).

As the sea withdrew, marine deposition became more limited. Prior to Etchegoin deposition, California was characterized by two marine embayments: one in the San Francisco Bay region and the other in the central-southern part of the San Joaquin Valley, through the Santa Maria Basin (Perkins, 1987) (Figure 7a). Near the Miocene-Pliocene boundary, the slip rate on the San Andreas Fault accelerated (Bowersox, 2005; Bartow, 1991). This caused the Salinia Terrane to move northward so that it began closing off the SJB from the Pacific Ocean (Beyer and Bartow, 1988). The southern seaway closed with the uplift of the Temblor and
Figure 7. Paleogeography of the SJB. Displays the tectonic movements that affected Etchegoin deposition. Shows location of San Andreas Fault, Mendocino Triple Junction, Garlock Fault, and surrounding mountain ranges. Modern city locations included for reference. A) Middle Miocene (~16 Ma), pre-Etchegoin conditions of two marine embayments. From Bartow (1991). B) Late Miocene (~9-10 Ma). Displays movement along the San Andreas Fault that began closing the SJB during the onset of Etchegoin deposition. From Bartow (1991). C) Early Pliocene (~5 Ma). Displays the restricted marine deposition in the SJB and the Priest Valley Straight. From Bowersox (2005). D) Pliocene (~3-4 Ma) shows continued uplift and movement along the San Andreas Fault that continued restriction of marine deposition in the SJB.
southern Coast Ranges beginning by ~5.4 Ma and the northern seaway became partially blocked by a Gabilan range subsea high (Figure 7c). This left the narrow, silling Priest Valley Strait near Coalinga as the sole connection to the Pacific Ocean (Atwater and Stock, 1998; Bowersox, 2005; Perkins, 1987). During this time, the western part of the Maricopa-Tejon sub-basin was subsiding rapidly. In the northern part of the basin, the Diablo Range uplift and associated volcanism were active, in association with the passing of the Mendocino Triple Junction (Bartow, 1991). The sea became progressively restricted in the SJB during late Miocene and Pliocene due to tectonic uplift, increased sedimentation rate, and a eustatic regression (Loomis, 1990).

**Depositional Environment**

Throughout the Tertiary, tectonism and sea-level fluctuations shifted the locus of shallow marine and nonmarine deposition within the basin (Perkins, 1987). Sediment deposits in the central SJB remained largely marine in origin until the late Miocene, when deposition of shallow-marine and nonmarine clastic sediment increasingly spread throughout the basin (Perkins, 1987).

While deposition of the upper Miocene, Pliocene, and Pleistocene sequences in the SJB began with the transgression of the sea in late Miocene time (Bartow, 1991), the structural closing of the SJB capped the initial transgressive sequence with a localized regressive sequence. Thus, Etchegoin sands grade laterally eastward and stratigraphically upward from deep marine to shallow tidal facies (Steward, 1996; Gillespie et al., 2008). Within the deepest part of the SJB, brown shales found in the lower Etchegoin Formation are indicative of anoxic conditions (Bowersox, 2005). Cores near the center of the valley display highly bioturbated sections
indicating lagoonal origin (Hector et al., 2008). Faunal distributions from shallow Etchegoin deposits along the eastern edge of the basin identify marsh, tidal channel, intertidal, lagoonal, littoral, and shallow sublittoral environments within a generally brackish basin (Lagoe et al., 2009) (Figure 8). The western side of the basin was a protected bay or estuarine environment characterized by numerous sand shoals and tidal channels (Loomis, 1989). On the eastern side of the basin, the Etchegoin has been described as a fluvial plain-deltaic environment that records the progradation of the Kern River fan delta (Link et al., 1990; Gillespie et al., 2008).

Figure 8. Paleogeography of the SJB at 5.3 Ma, early Pliocene, showing depositional environments of the Etchegoin Formation. From Reid (1995).

Fauna indicate that the restricted connection to the cooler water of the Pacific maintained warm, tropical climates in the SJB through the early Pliocene. Water temperatures within the
basin began declining by ~4.5 Ma, during the deposition of the upper Etchegoin formation, and reached a thermal minimum by 3.95 Ma (Bowersox, 2005). This thermal minimum was coincident with a sea level lowstand that is represented by the overlying San Joaquin Formation (Bowersox, 2005). By 3 Ma, the Sierra Nevada had begun the initial stages of glaciation (Reid, 1995).

Planktonic foraminifera suggests that a counterclockwise current direction was established in the SJB by late early / early middle Miocene (Bowersox, 2005). This is further supported by paleocurrent studies of Loomis (1990) in the Coalinga area that indicate a mean southward current direction.

Link et al. (1990) defined shoreface, river-mouth bar, prodelta, paralic, marine, and distributary channel deposits within the Etchegoin Formation at Kern Front Oil Field, south of the study area. These deposits are represented by four lithofacies that consist of a basal transgressive unit overlain by shoreface and river-mouth bar deltaic deposits that interfinger with and are overlain by prodelta to paralic mudstones. These deposits are overlain by distributary and/or fluvial channels.

According to Link et al. (1990), deposits of the basal deltaic facies consists of shoreface and river-mouth bar deposits subjected to marine reworking by waves, wind, and tidal processes. The delta was supplied by high sediment-load rivers. Deposits consist of localized pods of coarse-grained sediment, and north-south tracing sand bodies from longshore transport processes.

Fine grained deposits of the paralic to prodelta facies were formed from hemipelagic sedimentation of silt and sand, flocculation of clay-sized material, and concentration of fecal
peloids in relatively quiet water in shallow-marine to paralic settings. Link et al. (1990) believe this facies to correlate laterally with the Macoma Claystone to the west.

The sandstones and conglomerates of the marine and distributary channel facies form discontinuous, lenticular bodies characterized by processes commonly found in fluvial and/or marine channels. The mapped geometry of these sand bodies suggests west-draining, dendritic patterns that may have been tidally influenced (Link et al., 1990).

Overall, the depositional environment described by Link et al. (1990) on the eastern side of the basin is one in which alluvial fans occurred at the base of the rising Sierra Nevada mountains that transitioned basinward into braided-stream deposits. The coastal plain, which spanned from the marine embayment shoreline towards the alluvial fans, consisted of a low-relief, muddy plain with marshes and ponds. High sediment-load from westward flowing, sinuous river systems crossed the coastal plain and deposited their sediment load at the shoreline. The coarse-grained sediments were reworked by marine processes that formed long, linear shoreline sands that extended northward. Thus, the shoreface and river-mouth bar deposits grade westward into prodelta mudstone and eventually into offshore mudstone of the Macoma Claystone.

**Sea Level Fluctuation**

Although the sea was becoming more and more restricted throughout the Miocene and Pliocene, it was still subject to periods of regression and transgression. Both the upper and lower boundaries of the Etchegoin are associated with sea level lowstands (Bowersox, 2005). Bowersox (2005) found three periods of relative high sea-levels separating two periods of sea level falls.
In the San Joaquin Valley, tectonic activity and sedimentation rate affected transgressions and regressions as much, or more than, eustatic sea level changes (Bartow, 1991). Loomis (1990) found that localized tectonic events overshadowed large scale sea level changes during the late Miocene and Pliocene within the sedimentological record. The lowstand at the upper boundary is suggestive of a local sea-level change resulting from a tectonic event (Bowersox, 2005), such as uplift of the eastern part of the northern Diablo Range (Perkins, 1987).

The sea began withdrawal from the SJB in the latest Miocene. This retreat accelerated through the Pliocene as progradation of coarse clastic sediments continued from all sides of the basin (Bartow, 1991). At that time, six major rivers from the southern Sierra Nevada and Diablo Range watersheds flowed into the basin (Bowersox, 2005). The regression culminated with the final retreat of the sea near the end of the Pliocene as the then-emergent Salinia Terrane completely closed the Priest Valley Straight (Bartow, 1991).

**Provenance and Sediment Influx**

As movement along the San Andreas Fault closed the western seaway and restricted the connection between the San Joaquin Valley and the Pacific Ocean, Etchegoin facies became increasingly less marine and more terrigenous (Perkins, 1987). From the west, the Gabilan range provided volcanic and plutonic detritus, the Santa Cruz Mountains shed arkosic detritus eastward, and the Diablo Range provided reworked Santa Margarita and metamorphosed Franciscan complex detritus (Perkins, 1987).

The southern Sierra Nevada contributed large amounts of plutonic detritus to the Etchegoin, primarily in the east, and was the dominant source area of sediments on the Bakersfield Arch during late Miocene time (Perkins, 1987; Gillespie et. al., 2008).
Structure and Tectonism

General regional structure in the study area is comprised of a west-southwest dipping faulted homocline. Dips on outcropping Tertiary units in the Bakersfield area average 4-6° W-SW (Beyer and Bartow, 1988). Towards the eastern margins of the valley, strata thin and depositionally pinch-out as they onlap onto the Sierran Nevada block and underlying strata.

While transpressional stresses along the San Andreas Fault deeply impacted the west side of the basin causing extensive folding and thrust faulting, the east side of the basin remained relatively calm during Miocene and Pliocene time. According to Bartow (1991), deformation consisted mostly of southwest tilt and minor late Cenozoic normal faulting associated with late Miocene to recent uplift of the Sierra Nevada block.

However, a significant portion of the offset in the area was pre-uplift. These faults are mostly located in the Bakersfield arch area. Many subsurface faults have been mapped in areas where oil-well density is sufficient to delineate faults. Most of these faults provide the trapping mechanism for hydrocarbon accumulations. They trend mostly north to northwest (Figure 9) and have a net down-to-the-west displacement (although down-to-the-northeast faults are also common) with individual offsets of as much as 1970 feet (Bartow, 1991). Decreased offset of younger strata is common in this region. In general, the north-south tracing faults were active in the early Tertiary and were reactivated in the late Miocene (Bartow, 1991). A secondary fault trend of west to west-northwest is also apparent. East-west tracing faults may have had their origin in the latest Oligocene and early Miocene and were probably active until the late Miocene. While many faults in the area have historical movement, few show displacement of Quaternary deposits (Jennings and Bryant, 2010; Bartow, 1991).
One of the larger fault systems on the east side of the basin is the Pond-Poso Creek Fault. The Pond-Poso Creek fault is a zone of subparallel southwest-dipping normal faults with down-to-the-southwest offsets of over 1600 ft on the basement to 9 inches of offset at the surface (Bartow, 1991). This fault joins the Poso Creek Fault to the southeast (Figure 9) (Holzer, 1980). The Poso Creek Fault has a westerly direction and extends ~ 125 miles from the eastern margin of the south San Joaquin Valley to near the center of the valley (Holzer, 1980).
Lithology

Using core data, Link et al., (1990) described the Etchegoin in the Kern Front Oil Field as being poorly indurated. Sandstones are distinctive due to their lack of cement and comprise 64% of the formation. Sandstones range from light gray to dark gray and grayish olive green to light olive. Sandstones occur in beds up to 5 ft thick with an average thickness of 1 ft. The Etchegoin sandstones are feldspathic litharenites, arkoses, and wackes of medium- to coarse-grained, poorly-sorted detritus. Grains are held together by an extensive clay matrix or are cemented by viscous hydrocarbons. While porosity within the sandstone shows little variation, ranging from 27-41%, permeability varies over a wide range, from 33 to 5,100 md (Link et al., 1990).

Conglomerates comprise 8% of the Etchegoin and occur in beds up to 2 ft thick with an average thickness of 1 ft. Pebbles are light gray to medium gray. Clasts are angular- to well-rounded, granule- to cobble- sized clasts of granodiorite, granite, gneiss, and mica schist (Link et al., 1990).

Mudstone comprises the other 28% of the Etchegoin and is interbedded with thin beds of sandstone or gravel. Mudstones are dark gray, light olive gray, and pale yellow-brown. Maximum mudstone bed thickness is 10 ft with an average thickness of 1 ft. The thin beds of sandstone interbedded within the mud layers typically consist of silty and sandy clay, siltstone, and fine-grained sandstone.

Electric Log Response

According to, the Etchegoin formation has a complex electric log character due to highly radioactive, minerallogically immature sandstones, and highly variable grain sizes (Link et al.,
Within the eastern basin, fresh formation water increases the complexity of electric log signatures (e.g., creating a positive SP curve in sands compared to clay beds that have not had connate water flushed by fresh water aquifers). Due to similar resistivity readings from oil saturated pores and pores saturated with fresh water, resistivity variations reflect differences in rock properties rather than fluids, which allows resistivity to be used as a correlation index. High resistivity corresponds to low shale volume.

METHODS

Cores

Sedimentary structures and textures were recorded from cores of the Etchegoin Formation within T 27S, R 27E. According to the formation depths reported in the Division of Oil and Gas Well Summary Reports, nine Etchegoin cores/material from cores within the study area are available for viewing (Figure 10). Only the Basal Etchegoin units have oil production in the area, hence all the cores available were from that unit of the formation. Thus, all references to the Etchegoin Formation to follow refer only to the basal units which underlie the Macoma Claystone. Berry Petroleum Company provided two cores from the McVan Area of Poso Creek Oil Field: Poso 16 and Enas 23-17. Both of these cores were taken in 2006 and had been stored in a warehouse lacking climate control. All of the other cores in this study had been stored in the Well Repository at California State University, Bakersfield, which also lacks climate control.

Only one core, USL 1-20 drilled in 1978, was available from the Enas Area of Poso Creek. The well DePauli 82X, outside of the Enas Area boundary, was cored in 1981. Three cores are within the Premier Area: USL 2-2, Midway Premier 15, and Midway Premier 1. USL 2-2 was cored in 1980; Midway Premier 15 in 1943, and Midway Premier 1 in 1929. McNeil 1,
Figure 10. Location map of the cores viewed in this study. Conventional cores shown in blue stars and wells with core chips shown in green stars. T 27S, R 27E is highlighted in the red cross hatch.

north of the McVan Area, was drilled in 1939.

However, only wells from the McVan Area (USL 35-14C, Poso 16, and Enas 23-17) and USL 2-2 within the Premier Area had full conventional cores remaining from their original collection. The other wells only had core chips sampled from the conventional cores stored in the repository available for viewing. While sediment texture was reported from the core chips, sedimentary structures and unit boundary relationships could not be determined from the limited amount of sample present. The majority of the chips contain only sand samples, such as De Pauli 82X which did not have any clay beds, indicating that the preserved chips were biased towards quality reservoir sand and do not represent accurate lithology of the cores. Data from core chips
was useful in supporting correlations of formations and lithotypes from electrical log signatures not in close proximity to one of the conventional cores available.

I described the cores from the Macoma Claystone, if available, to the Chanac. The Chanac formation was identified by either the unconformity between the Etchegoin and Chanac or by the nonmarine character of the sediments of the Chanac. The contact depth visible in core confirmed and/or clarified the electric logs.

Lithology and grain size were determined under binocular microscope from a square inch removed from the center of the core. Sampling interval was dependent on bed thickness or lithology changes, as each bed was described as well as any grading sequences within a bed. Hydrocarbons were removed from the heavily oil saturated samples with a combination of lighter fluid and acetone. Sediment color was described using the Munsell 2.5 and 5 Yr Soil Chart. Grain-size measurement was determined from the Udden-Wentworth Scale (Krumbein, 1934). Degree of sphericity and rounding were determined after Powers (1953). Degree of sorting was determined after Folk (1965). Percentages of mineralogy and grain size were determined using “Percentage Diagrams for Estimating Composition by Volume” (Compton, 1985). Clay- and silt- sized particles were excluded from sorting, rounding, and lithology measurements; beds without any sand-sized particles did not have any measurement taken for these classifications.

Core points were depth corrected using a combination of electrical log correlation and consideration of core cut / recovery logs in conjunction with driller core barrel depths. Enas 23-17 was the only conventional core that appeared to need depth corrections due to its lack of correlation between the recorded lithology and electric log signatures. The measured depths recorded from the core viewing were converted from a 12 inch per foot into a 1/10 of a foot scale
to enable loading into the software mapping program (IHS Petra ®). Rounding and sorting properties were assigned numerical digits in order to display the data. A numerical scale of 1 (very poorly sorted / very angular) to 5 (very well sorted/ well rounded) was used. When a range of rounding was reported, the median value was used. Structural features noted were flagged to enable their plotting along the well track.

Sedimentary structures were noted when present along with unit boundary relationships. Bed thickness descriptions are in accordance with Bates and Jackson (1984) in which very thin-bedded ranges from 1 cm to 5 cm thick; thin-bedded ranges from 2 inches to 2 ft thick; thick-bedded ranges from 2 to 4 ft thick; and very thick bedded is greater than 4 ft thick. Each change in sand character was considered a layer and its bedform, structure, and texture was recorded according to the measured depth on the side of the PVC pipe which housed the core. Samples were taken from each layer within the core. Multiple samples were taken from layers displaying grain size changes in order to accurately record the varying grain sizes. Sediments were often unconsolidated and dry, and given their poor storage techniques, many sedimentary structures and bedforms were not preserved. Fortunately, original core images were provided by Berry Petroleum Company for Poso 16 and Enas 23-17. These images provided a more accurate, in-situ structural view of the sediments than the physical core allowed.

**Electric Log Correlation**

Only wells with electric logs recorded throughout the entire Etchegoin Formation were included in this study so that the full thickness of the formation was measured and grain-size trends could be noted across the entire interval.
Log correlation within the study area maintained the stratigraphy shown in the type log in Figure 6, with the addition of the B Sand (Figure 11), after Link et al. (1990) in the Kern Front area. The B Sand grades into the Macoma Claystone outside of the Kern Front Oil Field and is overlain by it within the oil field.

The Macoma Claystone is consistent throughout the study area as the marker between the Upper and Basal Etchegoin. Generally, four distinct lithological sequences are present within the Basal Etchegoin. In electric logs these are viewed as: 1) a coarsening upward package of one or two sand beds overlying the Chanac (Lower Basal Etchegoin-LBE); 2) a finer grained, usually
fining upward package overlying the LBE (Upper Basal Etchegoin-UBE); 3) a fine grained bed underlying the Macoma Claystone in the Kern Front area (B Sand); and 4) the overlying clay (Macoma Claystone). The number of facies designations was limited by the type of data available. While many subfacies could be identified within the cores, electric logs, which have a vertical resolution of about three feet, can only identify features which are predominantly controlled by clay content.

Due to the presence of fresh water aquifers in the area, the spontaneous potential (SP) log could not be used to determine grain size in the wells. However, Link et al. (1990) noted that resistivity curves on the electrical logs shows a strong correlation to grain size. Electric log values were plotted against grain size measurements from the conventional cores in this study that provided the most information (i.e. Enas 23-17, Poso 16, and USL 35-14C) to determine if they could be used as grain size indicators (Figure 12). The numerical value used to represent the grain size was determined using the equation: \[ \sum \left( \frac{\text{Wentworth phi value}}{100} \right) \] (the % of that sample comprised of that respective grain size). Since the SP and gamma ray (GR) values stay within the same range for all grain sizes, resistivity was predominantly used for correlations. Regardless, a combination of all electric logs were taken into consideration while correlating lithofacies to electric log signatures.

**Mapping**

Electric log signatures were correlated to cores to identify the lithological units identified above, i.e., the Macoma Claystone, B Sand, UBE, and LBE. Lithological facies of differing grain size and bed thicknesses were also correlated to electric log signatures. One sand facies was correlated from the UBE and two facies from the LBE.
Figure 12. Cross plots from the three best conventional cores in the study. Demonstrates relationship of spontaneous potential (SP), gamma ray (GR) and the deep resistivity (RD) curve AHT90 electric logs with grain size. Grain size is plotted on the x-axis and increases to the right; electric logs are plotted on the y-axis.
Because an abundance of literature has correlated grain-size trends from electric logs and depositional environments (Selley, 1996), these trends were noted for both the UBE and LBE from resistivity readings. Subunits were marked as fining upward, coarsening upward, blocky, or spiky (Figure 13).

![Figure 13. Examples of the variations in electric log signatures that were mapped. Depositional facies for each log signature vary, but the above are examples of 1) blocky: alluvial coastal plain 2) fining up: transgressed barrier island 3) coarsening up: delta 4) spiky: washover fan.](image)

Structure and isochore maps were constructed for the Macoma Claystone, UBE, and LBE using IHS Petra®. Grain-size trends in the sand were mapped by symbols.

**RESULTS**

**Core Description**

Cores from each basal Etchegoin geologic unit, *i.e.*, LBE, UBE, B Sand, and Macoma Claystone were described. Sediments found in the cores were predominantly unconsolidated. Sand mineralogy is primarily quartz and feldspar with minor constituents of hornblende, muscovite, and biotite. Grain sizes range from clay to pebble; clay and fine- to medium- sized sand grains are dominant. The degree of rounding observed covers the full range from very
angular to well rounded, with larger grain sizes being more angular. However, there are exceptions to the inverse correlation of grain-size and rounding, particularly in the LBE of Enas 23-17 where many layers contain very well rounded grain up to pebble-size (Appendix A). Clasts are rare and are mostly granitic with few metamorphics. Shells are also present.

The lack of clay in the core chips is likely a result from biased sampling while collecting the core chips from the conventional core (Appendix A). The collectors were presumably more interested in preserving good reservoir sands, rather than the clay layers. This biased sampling gives the false impression of a higher content of large grains within the wells represented from core chips and does not present a complete representation of the stratigraphy. This data is used for confirming log correlations more so than core correlations.

Bed thickness varies from a half inch to fifteen feet thick. Sand beds are pale brown to very dark grayish brown on the 2.5 yr Munsell color chart and clay beds are light gray to dark olive gray on the 5 yr Munsell color chart. The majority of beds are well sorted. Poor sorting is more commonly associated with beds containing coarse- or pebble- sized grains. Sedimentary structures identified consist of rip up clasts, ripple marks, lamination, bioturbation, cross-beds, massive, and coarsening- and fining- upward sequences.

Occasional very thin to thin calcite-cemented beds are the exception to the overall unconsolidated nature of the sediments (Figure 14). The calcite beds represent the lowermost level of sorting within the cores. These beds are always found in conjunction with high shell density — partial dissolution of the shells most likely provided the calcite cement. Shell fragments are large and completely unsorted and unstratified.
Figure 14. Photograph of the core showing a bed containing shell fragments and calcite cement from 1178.7 to 1179 ft in Poso 16. Left track shows core in normal light, right track shows core under ultraviolet light, which causes hydrocarbons to fluoresce orange. Arrows point to shells within carbonated cemented sands. Photograph taken by PTS Laboratories upon core retrieval.

**Chanac**

The Chanac itself was not described in this study. The Chanac is evident in core by a high content of pebble-sized grains or its high angle cross-bedding that sharply contrasts with the low angle cross-bedding and fine grained sediments of the lower LBE. Apart from containing larger grain size particles than the LBE, its lithologic characteristics are very similar to those of the Basal Etchegoin. The Chanac is also unindurated and poorly cemented and its mineralogy is
also highly granitic. Fortunately, the two formations are distinguishable using electric log correlations. The underlying Chanac has strong fluvial characteristics in its log signatures, such as the repeated fining upward sand beds commonly used to demonstrate meandering environments or the blocky signature common in alluvial fans or braided streams (Figure 15).

**Lower Basal Etchegoin (LBE)**

In cores, the LBE is represented by laminated clay layers alternating with thin cross-bedded or bioturbated sands rich in shell content and calcite beds. Generally, the LBE is a coarsening and thickening upward sand package that contains numerous thin beds and fine grained sediments at the base of the unit (Figure 16). The overlying thick, coarse-grained beds

---

**Figure 15.** Electric log cross section showing contrast between marine Etchegoin Formation electric log signatures and underlying fluvial log signatures of the Chanac Formation.
and the lower thin, fine- to medium- grained beds are identified as two different lithological facies.

Electric log correlations were used throughout the study to differentiate Facies 1 and 2 within the LBE. While all electric logs are beneficial in correlating facies, resistivity and SP are the best logs for facies correlations (Figure 17). Facies 2 of the LBE is characterized by high resistivity readings and large negative SP deflection. Higher values in both of these logs correlate strongly to Facies 2. Neutron porosity logs show slightly higher readings within Facies 2 and GR shows slightly higher readings within Facies 1, due to a higher concentration of uranium and potassium in clays. However, there are some discrepancies between electric log characteristics and core descriptions, particularly in intervals containing large amounts of clay to very fine grained sediment. The resistivity commonly displays Facies 1 of the LBE as a clay while the core shows thin-bedded medium sand and clay alterations.

**Facies 1**

Facies 1 generally comprises the lowermost portion of the LBE and consists of very thin to thick interbeds of clay and fine- to medium- grained sand beds. Clay beds dominate this facies (Figure 16). Clay bed contacts are either gradational or sharp, with the thick clay beds displaying predominantly sharp contacts.

Grains are well sorted to very well sorted and subrounded to very well rounded (Appendix A). Layers containing very well rounded grains also contain very well sorted grains. Sands range from fine- to coarse- grained.

Clay beds in Facies 1 are commonly either bioturbated or laminated. Rip up clasts of reworked clays are present only at the base of coarse-grained beds indicating that their basal contacts represent local unconformities such as those found at the base of channels.
Figure 16. Generalized, composite sketch of grain-size trends and sedimentary structures of the Basal Etchegoin. For descriptions of individual cores, see Appendix A.
Facies 2

Facies 2 represents the upper part of the LBE. It overlies and interfingers with Facies 1. Core recoveries from Facies 2 was typically poor (Appendix A). Electric logs suggest that the sediment that is missing from the cores is relatively coarse grained given the high RD at the depths of the missing core. Correlations with USL 35-14C (in which this facies was retrieved) further supports that Facies 2 is coarse grained. The lack of coarse sediment in the core barrel is not uncommon since sands are typically poorly indurated relative to clays, making them harder to retrieve in the core barrel. Core descriptions of this facies were taken predominantly from USL 35-14C.

Facies 2 consists of thick to very thick beds of coarse- to pebble- sized grains that alternate with very thin to thin clay beds (Figure 16). Facies 2 contains calcite-cemented beds and also contains greater than 5% shell content. Apart from the calcite beds, in which rip-up clasts of clay are present, bed contacts are conformable.

Grains are poorly sorted to very well sorted and subrounded to well rounded. Grains are not as well sorted and are much more angular in Facies 2 than they are in Facies 1 (Appendix A).

The only massive beds within the LBE are in Facies 2. Fining upward sequences from pebbles to medium grained sands are present within individual sand beds from the lower portions of Facies 2.

Enas 23-17 was the outlier to the other cores viewed, primarily in regard to the LBE (Appendix A). Not only does it contain large grains that are well rounded, Facies 1 is absent and Facies 2 does not contain any calcite cement or layers with high shell content. Enas 23-17 is further south than the other two conventional cores in the eastern portion of the study area and represents the stratigraphy and lithology of the LBE in the southeast.
Upper Basal Etchegoin (UBE)

The UBE is separated from the coarsening upward sequence of the LBE by clay or very fine grained sand beds (Figure 16). This contact was gradational, except in well USL 35-14C, in which it was very sharp (Appendix A). The UBE is represented in core by alternating layers of clay and medium grained sand to pebble-sized beds. Sand beds are the dominant lithology. The UBE is predominantly thickly to very thickly bedded. The UBE consists predominantly of sands that fine upwards from pebbles to fine grained sand, although a few coarsening upward sequences are present. Fining upward sequences are much more common in the UBE than in the LBE. Fining upward sequences range from pebbles to clay and coarsening upward sequences range from fine to very coarse sand. While gradational contacts are common, the majority of thick and very thick clay beds have abrupt contacts.

Sand beds in the UBE are primarily poorly sorted, with grain sizes ranging from fine grained sand to pebbles (Appendix A). Approximately 10 to 25% of the sediment in most of the sand layers is coarse grained. Pebbles make up to 20% of the sediments in some beds in the McVan area.

Rip up clasts of fine grain to clay-size sediment were observed in only a few sand layers overlying a fine grained layer. Bioturbation was only present in beds overlying the LBE contact. A few fine- to medium- grained beds exhibit low to medium angle cross-beds.

The UBE contains finer grain sizes in the Premier and Enas areas than in the McVan area (Appendix A). Pebbles are entirely absent from the more basinward areas to the west, where the UBE is composed of mostly fine- to medium- grained sand. The UBE is also thinner in the western wells, as demonstrated in the core from well USL 2-2.
The sand beds in the UBE often appear as fine grained in the electric logs while they are actually very coarse in the cores, as is seen in Enas 23-17 (Appendix A). This demonstrates that the electric logs tend to underestimate grain sizes in the sediments, due to an averaging effect in the resolution of the log data.

**B Sand**

The B Sand is only present in well USL 2-2 (Appendix A). This core had been stored poorly, and as a result, most sedimentary structures were destroyed. In USL 2-2, the B Sand is a thick, medium grained sand bed. The sand is well sorted and the grains are very well rounded. It is separated from the UBE by a thick clay bed which has sharp upper and lower contacts.

**Macoma Claystone**

Overlying the UBE is the massive Macoma Claystone (Figure 16). The contact between the UBE and the Macoma is very sharp. The Macoma Claystone contains 80 to 95% clay content; very fine- to medium- sized sand grains make up the remaining 5 to 20% of the deposit.

**Maps**

**Structure Maps**

The structure maps of each unit within the Basal Etchegoin shows the 3.3° to 3.7° westward dip of the regional homocline along the foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountain Range. Displacement along these faults ranges from 50 ft to 100 ft. However, dip increases to 8.3° to 8.8° between the Premier Area and Kern Front due to large scale normal faulting within
Figure 17. Cross plots from Poso 16 between grain size phi units (x-axis) and electric log values (y-axis) showing correlations to facies determination (z axis, displayed as colored points). a) Correlation in high resistivity and facies 2. b) Correlation between high neutron porosity and facies 1. c) Gamma ray does not show any correlation. d) High spontaneous potential values correlate to facies 2.
that area. The UBE and LBE sands differ in their aerial extent however: the UBE and the Macoma Claystone extend further east than does the LBE (Figure 18).

The Macoma Claystone thins to the east and becomes indistinguishable from clay beds in the Upper Etchegoin on electric logs (Figure 19), thus it is not mapped as far east as the UBE (Figure 18). However, it does extend further eastward than the LBE.
Figure 19. Stratigraphic cross section A-A’ displaying the westward thickening of the Macoma Claystone and the LBE.
Isochore Maps

Lower Basal Etchegoin (LBE)

The LBE has a maximum thickness of 108 ft and thins to the east toward the Sierra Nevada Mountain Range. It pinches out just east of the eastern edge of T27S R27E (Figure 20). Thinning becomes dramatic in the eastern part of the township where strata thins from 70 ft thick to 20 ft thick over a distance of less than a mile (Figure 21). The thinning strikes north-south and roughly parallels the Sierra Nevada Mountain Range. However, to the south of the study area, closer to the dominant sediment source, the Kern River, this dramatic thinning occurs a mile farther to the west.

East-west thickness patterns are apparent west of the area of rapid thinning. Within this area, the LBE alternates between 60 ft and 85 ft thick. These east-west patterns are less apparent to the north and west, however this may be due to lower data densities in these areas.

![Figure 20. Gross interval isochore map of the LBE. Scale ranges from 0 to 150 ft thick. Contour interval is 5 ft.](image-url)
The maximum thickness of Facies 1 in the LBE is 28 ft (Figure 21). The maximum thickness occurs twice, both times within oil fields. The isochore map of Facies 1 shows little variation within the mapped area, except for thinning to the east along the LBE pinch-out.

The Facies 2 in the LBE (Figure 22) reaches a maximum thickness of 75 ft northeast of the Enas area. The general westward thickening of the formation is apparent. The map also shows alternating areas of high and low thickness with east-west orientations.

The gross interval isochore maps of the different facies sands of the LBE did not extend as far east as the LBE isochore itself. This is due to the inability to determine the facies’ characteristics in the LBE where it was very thin near its pinch out.
Figure 22. Isochore map of LBE Facies 2. Scale ranges from 0 to 150 ft with contour intervals of 5 ft.

Facies 2 shows more variability in its thickness than Facies 1 (Figure 24). This is especially true in the southeast, where Facies 2 extends much farther east than Facies 1. Here, Facies 2 thickens in areas where Facies 1 is absent.

The majority of the grain-size trends within the LBE are coarsening upward (Figures 23 and 24). This was present throughout the entire study area with a noticeably high density of coarsening upward signatures near the pinch-out to the east. Fining upward sequences are also present and display a strong correlation to the thicker Facies 2 deposits. Spiky signatures are more common in the western portion of the township and show a close proximity to fining upward sequences. Blocky log signatures are the least common, but are present in clusters.
Most of the blocky signatures were adjacent to the area where the LBE pinches out to the east.

Blocky signatures are more strongly associated with coarsening upward sequences than any other grain-size trend.

Figure 23. Electric log signatures plotted against the isochore map of the LBE Facies 1.
Figure 24. Electric log signatures plotted against the isochore map of the LBE Facies 2.
Upper Basal Etchegoin (UBE)

The maximum thickness of the gross interval isochore of the UBE, 65 ft, is in the northern part of the study area (Figure 25). The most prominent feature seen in the isochore is a thick zone which strikes north-south down the middle of the township. The UBE thins to both the east and the west of this prominent feature. Basinward the UBE grades into the Macoma Claystone (Link et. al, 1990). A second thick area is seen west of the major north south horizontal trace in the Enas Area, where the UBE is up to 55 ft thick.

Figure 25. Gross interval isochore map of the UBE. Scale ranges from 0 to 150 ft thick and contour interval is 5 ft.

The net sand isochore map of the UBE shows the same north-south strike and eastward and westward thinning as the gross interval isochore map of the UBE (Figure 26). Its maximum
thickness is 60 ft. The thickest areas of sand largely coincide with the thickest areas in the gross interval isochore, indicating that the UBE deposits are mostly sand in these areas.

![Figure 26. Isochore map of the UBE net sand. Scale ranges from 0 to 150 ft thick and the contour interval is 5 ft.](image)

Fining up is the most common log signature in the UBE and is present throughout the entire map (Figure 27). Fining upward signatures are especially common in the eastern area. Spiky log signatures are also very common and are present throughout the area, but in higher concentrations within the thinner UBE deposits to the west. Coarsening upward sequences are not as common but are seen in higher concentrations along the eastern edge of the north-south
tracing thick UBE deposits. Blocky signatures are rare, but when they are present they are associated with coarsening upward log signatures.

Figure 27. Electric log signatures plotted against the net sand isochore map of the UBE.

B Sand

The B Sand is only present in the southeast area of the township. Its maximum thickness is 30 ft in Section 35 (Figure 28). The gross isochore map shows a northwest striking
lithological unit. Outside of this area it grades into the Macoma Claystone, which overlies the UBE in the rest of the area (Figure 29).

Figure 28. Gross isochore map of the B. Scale ranges from 0 to 150 ft thick. Contour interval is 5 ft.

**Macoma Claystone**

The Macoma Claystone has a maximum thickness of 220 ft in the western part of the study area (Figure 30). It extends slightly farther east than does the UBE. The Macoma Claystone thins from 100 ft thick to 20 ft thick three miles east of township 27S, R 28E, except in the most northern two sections, where the Macoma remains 50 ft thick all the way to the eastern edge of the township. In the southeast part of the study area, where the lower portion of
Figure 29. Stratigraphic cross section B-B’ displaying the B Sand grading into the Macoma Claystone to the north.
the Macoma grades into the B Sand, its thickness is relatively constant, varying by only 10 ft over 430 square miles. In the northeast portion of the study area, the Macoma thickens eastwardly from 60 ft to 80 ft thick.

![Figure 30. Isochore map of the Macoma Claystone. Scale ranges from 0 to 220 ft thick. Contour interval is 10 ft.](image)

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, the sediments in the core, the electrical log signatures, and the geometries in the isochore maps are consistent with the hypothesis that the Basal Etchegoin represents a marine transgression (Kodl *et al.*, 1990; Link *et al.*, 1990; Bartow, 1991; Steward, 1996; Gillespie *et al.*, 1996).
2008, Bowersox, 2005; and Loomis, 1990) and is transitional between the underlying Chanac (fluvial) and the overlying Macoma Claystone (marine offshore).

The lithology of the Etchegoin in the study area matches the lithology of the granitic Sierra Nevada Mountain Range (Link et al., 1990). This implies that the marine sediments were largely sourced from the emergent Sierra Nevada. The close proximity of the shoreline to the Sierras explains the low degree of rounding seen in most of the medium- and coarse grained sands.

**Depositional Environments**

**Lower Basal Etchegoin (LBE)**

Both Bowersox (2005) and Link et al. (1990) note the presence of deltaic shorelines near the study area. A deltaic environment is supported by electric logs, cores, and isochore mapping in this study. The sedimentary structures observed in the cores agree with the generic vertical profile set forth by Selley (1996) for delta deposits: a fine-grained, laminated or rippled marine facies (*i.e.*, delta front / Facies 1) that is overlain by coarser grained, cross-bedded channel sands (*i.e.*, delta plain / Facies 2). This profile appears as an overall upward coarsening and thickening sequence on wireline logs (Selley, 1996; Kitazawa, 2006), and is the most common log signature within the LBE (Figure 24). Coarsening upward sequences are characteristic of many deltaic facies, such as bay-filling sediments and tidal ridges (Appendix B). Selley (1996), explained the vertical repetition of this profile and the interfingering of facies, by delta switching and repeated episodes of filling of interdistributary bays by crevassing. When the LBE is divided into 3 segments, the vertical profile of prodelta deposits overlain by delta front and delta plain deposits is observed in the core sediments viewed in this study (Figure 31).
The lowermost third of the LBE, which unconformably overlies fluvial deposits of the Chanac Formation, commonly consists of Facies 1, which contains clay beds, cross-beds of 1 to 3 inches thick, bioturbation, lamination, thin beds, and ripple marks (Figure 31). These features are most prominent in the cores Poso 16 and USL 35-14C (Appendix A). These structures are characteristic of a prodelta and delta front in which sand slumping, crevasse filling of interdistributary bays, and/or minor sea level changes create alternating clay and sand beds (Appendix B) (Selley, 1996). Prodelta deposits are represented by spikey electric log signatures. Spikey log signatures increase in frequency basinward (westward). Lower delta front facies visible in core consist of interdistributary bay and distributary mouth bar deposits (Appendix B). These deposits display ripple marks and bioturbation and are either fine grained sand or alternate between fine and coarse grained beds. They are characteristic of deltas with a low slope, as is expected due to the low gradient of the basin margin.

The sands in the middle part of the LBE represent the interfingering of Facies 1 and Facies 2. These deposits contain more cross-beds, graded beds, thin beds, rip-up clasts and less bioturbation and lamination than those in the lower third of the LBE (Figure 31). These
structures are characteristic of bay-fill, distributary mouth bars, distributary channels, and lower tidal river deposits of upper delta front environments (Appendix B) (Hori et al., 2001; Coleman and Prior, 1982; Kitawaza, 2006; Boggs, 2001; and Selley, 1996). These environments are most strongly represented in electric logs by blocky and spikey signatures. Spikey signatures in this environment are associated with crevasse splays into interdistributary bays and blocky signatures are interpreted to be distributary mouth bar deposits.

The majority of the uppermost third of the LBE consists of Facies 2, which always overlies and sometimes interfingers with Facies 1. While much of this facies was lost during coring, what was captured revealed well sorted, very well rounded grains with an increase in massive beds, rip-up clasts, and calcite cement compared to the middle beds (Figure 31). The upper sands also showed decreased frequencies of clay beds, cross-beds, lamination, and thin beds. These features are characteristic of upper delta front and lower delta plain environments characterized by distributary channels, tidal rivers, tidal-ridges, and subtidal lag facies (see Appendix B for core characterization) (Coleman and Prior (1982); Kitawaza (2006); Selley, (1996).

Tidal channels consist of coarse sediment, especially when close to the main tidal channel (Kitazawa, 2006). Facies 2 matches descriptions of tidal ridges very well. According to Coleman and Prior (1982), tidal ridges, which are large, linear topographic forms commonly found within and seaward of the river mouth, tend to contain the coarsest and best sorted sand units and can show multi-directional cross strata. Fining upward signatures are interpreted as tidal channels or distributary channels. Pelecypod shell fragments are common throughout the sand deposits of both tidal ridges and channels and are commonly concentrated into thin lag deposits, in the cores (Coleman and Prior, 1982). These lag deposits are an indication of erosion
by strong tidal currents (Kitazawa, 2006). The tidal facies observed in cores is likely due to reworking by waves and tidal currents during transgressive episodes (Boyd et al., 1992).

The areal mapping of the LBE that results from this study further supports the depositional environments observed in core: a fluvial deltaic depositional system that has been tidally influenced/reworked during a transgression. In a distributary mouth bar environment, tides create strong bidirectional currents that form large, linear tidal ridges just seaward of the river mouth (Coleman and Prior, 1982). The tidal ridges that form from reworking the distributary mouth bar are commonly oriented parallel to river channels and perpendicular to the shoreline (Coleman and Prior, 1982; Selley, 1996; Kitawaza, 2006). The isochore map of LBE Facies 2 (Figure 22) shows parallel, elongate sand ridges with east-west horizontal traces that are perpendicular to the paleo-shoreline along the Sierra Nevada Mountain Range. The control points within the mapped area do not allow for the depiction of individual tidal ridges or channels, which average 2000 ft wide and can be 1 to 3 miles apart (Coleman and Prior, 1982). However, the general ridge-like pattern is apparent on the isochore map.

The great thickness (up to 50 ft) of the coarse-grained, thick beds of Facies 2 (Figure 32) in and eastward of section 27 suggests the presence of a large distributary channel in which strong fluvial currents prevented marine deposition. This feature can be seen in Enas 23-17, which is the closest core to the distributary channel (channel sands from 1197-1203 ft and 1205 to 1225 ft of Enas 23-17 in Appendix A). In these sections, electric logs most commonly show fining upward signatures, and, more rarely, spikey log signatures. This location is only 6 miles from the Pond-Poso Creek Fault which contains a modern day stream (Figure 9). This fluvial system, or an ancient one following the same path, may have been an important source of sediment for the deltaic system.
Figure 32. a) Macro-scale depositional facies reconstruction of the LBE deltaic sands with inserts of b) Facies 1 isochore (Figure 21) and c) Facies 2 isochore (Figure 22). Electric logs signatures displayed on maps as symbols.
Upper Basal Etchegoin (UBE) and B Sand

A barrier island / lagoonal depositional environment for the UBE is suggested by log signatures and lithology (see Appendix B for core characterization of each subfacies and references). This is supported by Link et al. (1990), who interpreted the UBE as paralic backbay, estuary/tidal, and/or lower coastal plain channels to the south in the Kern Front field. Four major sedimentary environments are commonly found in the barrier island system: fluvial coastal plain, lagoonal complex, the barrier island itself, and offshore marine shelf (Appendix B) (Selley, 1996). Only where there is a delicate balance of land-derived sediment and sea level rise are all four facies preserved, which is rare in the geologic record (Selley, 1996). With the exception of the offshore marine shelf facies, these environments are all represented in the UBE sediments and mapping from this study. Features in the core such as bioturbation, and thin, fining upward beds in the UBE support environmental deposits of alluvial coastal plains, lagoons, flood tidal deltas, washover fans, tidal inlet channels, and barrier islands (Appendix B).

The UBE has grain sizes that range from very fine to pebble-size (pebbles are present in Enas 23-17, see Appendix B), with medium to coarse sand being prominent. The conventional cores from the McVan Area display flood tidal delta, washover, and lagoonal subfacies of the barrier island system (Appendix B). Lagoonal facies are characterized by rippled and burrowed interlaminated fine sands, silts, muds, and peat deposits (Boggs, 2001; Selley, 1996). Flood tidal delta and washover deposits are marked by fine- to coarse- grained, crossbedded sandstone that vary in thickness from 1 to 4 m thick (McCubbin, 1982). Depending on the thickness of the flood tidal delta deposits, they can display either fining upward (thinly bedded deposits) or coarsening upward log signatures (thickly bedded deposits) (Nishikawa and Ito, 2000;
McCubbin, 1982). A washover fan from a single storm can be up to two feet thick and extend a few hundred feet (McCubbin, 1982).

During transgression, the vertical profile of a barrier island fines upwards, as commonly seen in the UBE, as opposed to the coarsening upward barrier island that occurs during regression (McCubbin, 1982 and Selley, 1996). In transgressive settings, tidal delta and washover deposits form the majority of the preserved barrier system and are quite prevalent in the lagoon behind a barrier island. Large cross-bedded sand deposits in the lagoon are a significant component of transgressive barrier sequences as well, as tidal channels often cut the barrier island during transgression and flow into the lagoon (Selley, 1996; Boggs, 2001). Hence, sand beds between fine-grained deposits may represent washover fans interbedded with lagoonal environments (McCubbin, 1982).

Beach and shoreface deposits were not noted in the available cores for this study. While there are not any conventional cores from the seaward side of the barrier island, the absence of beach deposits can also be explained by rapid sea advancement in which barriers are quickly submerged to form offshore bars and shoals (Selley, 1996).

A barrier island / lagoonal environment is also evident in the isochore map of the UBE that resulted from this study (Figure 25), in which barriers are defined as sandy islands or peninsulas elongated parallel to the shore and separated from the mainland by lagoons or marshes (McCubbin, 1982). None of the cores viewed in this study lie directly within the barrier island itself (Figure 33). Enas 23-17 contains the coarsest grained sediments of the UBE cores and it is the core that most closely plots on the barrier island itself (Appendix B). The cores from the Enas and Premier areas to the west of the barrier system are more fine grained and represent the seaward transition into a muddy offshore zone represented by the Macoma Claystone (Selley,
On the western side of the barrier island, spiky log signatures represent interbedded offshore muds and sands seaward of the barrier island complex (Selley, 1996) (Appendix B).

The B sand isochore map (Figure 28) is similar in geometry to that of the UBE (Figure 25), except that its greatest sand thickness lies nearly one mile farther east than that of the underlying UBE. This, coupled with the fining upward log signature that is commonly seen in barrier islands during transgression (McCubbin, 1982 and Selley, 1996), suggests that the B Sand is the uppermost bed of the UBE barrier island. Due to the rising sea level, it overlies the UBE barrier island sands and has a slight, landward displacement. The B Sand represents the final deposition of the barrier island.

The UBE/B Sand barrier island lies one section to the east of the mapped fault in Enas Oil Field and lies along the strike of the basin margin (Figures 18 and 33). This suggests the barrier island was deposited on the shelf-slope break. In the central part of the study area (sections 15 and 14), the isochore map of the UBE/B Sand (Figure 33) suggests that the barrier sand thins, which may indicate the presence of a tidal inlet. The lower thickness/ft gradient east and west of the inferred tidal inlet in the net sand isochore map suggests the presence of a flood-tidal delta and ebb-tidal delta, respectively (Appendix B).

The location of the UBE to the east of the LBE demonstrates the subsequent transgressive episode and the landward migration of the shoreline (Figure 18). When the rate of relative sea level rise exceeds the rate of sediment supply, estuaries and lagoons are formed (Boyd et al., 1992). When wave and tidal current reworking becomes intense, delta shorelines shift landward and are reworked into coastal barriers, beaches, or dune complexes (Appendix B) (McCubbin, 1982). This is currently occurring in the Mississippi delta where abandoned delta lobes are reworked by waves as the sea advances (Selley, 1996). Barrier island sands are often formed
Figure 33. a) Macro-scale depositional facies reconstruction of the barrier island and lagoonal sands and b) gross isochore map of the UBE and the B Sand (Figure 30). Electric logs signatures displayed on maps as symbols.
during transgression or delta lobe switching due to the decrease in fluvial influence as the shoreline moves further landward (Boyd et al., 1992; Selley, 1996).

**Macoma Claystone**

The Macoma Claystone represents the final sequence in the marine transgression of the Basal Etchegoin. As seen in the core, the Macoma Claystone is massive and lacks any grains larger than fine sand. On electric logs, it provides a shale baseline (i.e., positive SP deviation). These features are characteristics of offshore marine deposits.

The isochore map of the Macoma Claystone (Figure 30) shows it thickening west of the UBE/B Sand barrier island (Sections 16, 21, 28, and 33) where it likely represents a gradation from barrier island and lagoon deposition to offshore deposition. The Macoma Claystone is thicker in the north, near sections 1 and 2 of T27S R27E. The greater thickness in this area (as opposed to the southern portion around section 26) is likely due to the lack of B Sand deposition in the north, which allowed offshore marine deposits to transgress more rapidly there. This location likely served as a bay in the overall north-northwest striking shoreline.

**CONCLUSION**

The Basal Etchegoin sands represents a marine transgression of an inland sea during Miocene/Pliocene time. The sands were deposited on a low gradient slope, which steepens to the west of the fault in Enas Oil Field (Figure 9). The sands were sourced from the rising Sierra Nevada Mountains and fed by a river system that roughly parallels the current Poso Creek Fault system (Figure 9). The Lower Basal Etchegoin (LBE) is a tidally influenced deltaic deposit reworked by longshore currents as the delta lobe was abandoned. These sediments, the Upper
Basal Etchegoin (UBE), were deposited in a barrier island/lagoon environment. The Macoma Claystone, which overlies the UBE completes the transgressive sequence.
Appendix A

The stratigraphic columns displayed in this appendix are exact, detailed graphical
depictions of each core viewed in this study. Every bed from the core is displayed here,
including the smallest bed thickness recorded (one half inch). Electrical logs are shown in the
first column. The second column displays the sum of the percent of total sediment for each grain
size. The fourth column displays the degree of sorting, followed by the degree of rounding (5th
column), and sedimentary structures observed (6th column). Facies 1 and 2 of the LBE are
colored within the depth track. Facies for wells with core chips was primarily determined using
electric log correlation as core chips alone do not typically provide enough information for
characterization.
### Enas 23-17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electric Logs</th>
<th>Grain Size (%)</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Sorting</th>
<th>Rounding</th>
<th>Structures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MACOMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE</td>
<td></td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHANAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Electric Logs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GR</th>
<th>RD</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>Porosity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Grain Size

- Clay
- Coarse
- Silt
- Very Coarse
- Very Fine
- Pebble
- Fine
- Shell
- Medium
- Calcite

#### Sedimentary Structures

- Massive
- Bioturbation
- Cross bed
- Lamination
- Fining
- Thinly bedded
- Coarsening
- Ripple Mark
- Rip-Up Clast

#### Facies (shown in depth track)

- Facies 1
- Facies 2
Wells with core chips
Appendix B

This Appendix contains information used to identify and characterize the depositional facies. Schematics label depositional facies that are common in deltaic (LBE) and barrier island (UBE) environments. Charts depict the sedimentary structures and electric log signatures observed in both the LBE and UBE and the corresponding depositional environment in which each structure may be found. The logs give examples of log characteristics of specific sub facies of the depositional environment. The charts are compiled from many literature sources which are cited next to the corresponding chart.
Environmental Facies of Deltas (LBE)

Modified from Selley (1996).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cross Beds</th>
<th>Laminated Silts</th>
<th>Massive</th>
<th>Bioturbation</th>
<th>Lamination</th>
<th>Thin Beds</th>
<th>Rippled Sands</th>
<th>Ripup Clasts</th>
<th>Coarse Grains</th>
<th>Fine Grains</th>
<th>Alternating Coarse-Fine Grained Beds</th>
<th>Fine Grained Beds</th>
<th>Poorly Sorted</th>
<th>Angular Grains</th>
<th>Well Sorted</th>
<th>Shells</th>
<th>Fines Upward</th>
<th>Coarsens Upward</th>
<th>Spikey Log Signature</th>
<th>Blocky Log Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial Distributary Channels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtidal Lag</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal Ridge</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal Channel</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributary Channel</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributary Mouth Bar</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prodelta Bay Fill</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Facies of Barriers (UBE)

Modified from Heteren (2014).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alluvial Coastal Plain</th>
<th>Lagoon</th>
<th>Washover Fan</th>
<th>Flood Tidal Delta</th>
<th>Tidal Inlet</th>
<th>Barrier Island</th>
<th>Spit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross Beds</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminated Silts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioturbation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin Beds</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rippled Sands</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripup Clasts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse Grains</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Grains</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternating Coarse-Fine Grained Beds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly Sorted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular Grains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Sorted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines Upward</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarsens Upward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spikey Log Signature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocky Log Signature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compiled from McCubbin (1982) and Nishikawa and Ito (2000).
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