GECCo Meeting Minutes  
Friday, August 26, 2022  
Zoom Meeting 2:00 pm – 3:30 pm

Present: Andreas Gebauer, Marta Ruiz (Admin Support), Alexander Reid, Eduardo Montoya, Kelly O’Bannon Miller, Lori Paris, R. Steven Daniels

Absent: Annie Boehning, Arno Argueta, Debra Jackson

Meeting began at 2:06 p.m.

1. Agenda for Friday, August 26, 2022
   a. Kelly O’Bannon Miller moved to approve the agenda.
   b. Committee member seconds to approve the agenda, there is a unanimous approval.

2. Minutes for Friday, April 22, 2022
   a. Steven Daniels moves to approve the minutes from the 4/22/2022 meeting.
   b. Committee member seconds to approve the minutes from the 4/22/2022 meeting, there is a unanimous approval.
   c. Kelly O’Bannon abstains from approving the minutes from the 4/22/2022 meeting since she was not part of the committee at that time.

3. Updates
   a. Budget
      i. Andreas Gebauer shared that the provost will provide the same amount of funds for GECCo as was provided last year. He will share the actual amount once he finds out the actual amount.
   b. GE ASC
      i. Andreas Gebauer shared that he will continue with his role and Marta Ruiz assist as ASC temporarily.
   c. Fall 2022 ASC
      i. Andreas Gebauer shared that he will continue with his role and Marta Ruiz assist as ASC temporarily.
   d. GE Assessment Coordinator
      i. Assessment Plans for 2022-23
         o Andreas Gebauer shared that there will be less assessments this year to score but that there are still self-items, artifacts, and GWAR needing to be scored.

4. New Course – PH 3218
   a. The committee will review the course at their next scheduled meeting.
   b. The committee suggested that they change the description as it is too generic and does not resemble that of a JYDR course; therefore, they should look at changing the description to reflect that of its context being in the Health World.

5. Assessment, Reports, Action Planning (Box>GECCo> Meeting Materials. 2022-23)
   a. Area B
i. The results from Area B were diverse.
b. Area C
   i. The results showed that the target of Area C was met.
c. Area D
   i. The results were extremely low, and the target was not met.
d. American Institutions
   i. The results showed that it had one of the worst outcomes from the other areas. It was brought up that the poor results were due to the way students were evaluated in courses within this area.
e. Diversity
   i. The results were not good. The group members mentioned how that was expected as a result of COVID-19.

Meeting ended at 3:31 p.m.