Present: D. Boschini (Chair), B. Hartsell (Vice Chair), M. Slaughter, A. Hegde, J. Millar J. Zorn, M. Rush, C. MacQuarrie, J. Tarjan, J. Zorn

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ANNOUNCEMENTS AND INFORMATION – D. Boschini reported that Chairs of the Campus Senates sent an open letter to Timothy White, Chancellor, California State University Regarding Executive Orders 1100 (revised) and 1110 from (hand out) and that she signed on behalf of CSUB.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – B. Hartsell moved to approve. M. Slaughter seconded. All approved.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA – B. Hartsell moved to approve. M. Rush seconded. All approved.

5. CONTINUED ITEMS
   a. Academic Master Plan – Faculty associated with new programs were invited to Senate meeting to express that there had been consultation with and support from faculty. The AMP form needs to include lines for signatures of Curriculum Committee and Department Chair to demonstrate that replacement and expansion of programs were discussed at the department level. A BPA faculty member representing the new Master program in Logistics and Supply Chain Management will be present at Senate meeting November 30. The agenda includes RES 171812 Academic Master Plan 2018-2019 to 2028-2029 Second Reading.
   b. It was suggested that EC consider a referral for an administrative calendar to serve as the central repository for calendar deadlines for decisions based on what’s already been approved. For example, search activities, URC, Provost fund distribution, TLC fund distribution, approval for human subjects, Grad Checks, Wang Award, Honorary Doctorate, etc. The Chair will broach the subject with the Vice-Provost.
   c. Follow up: Grad Checks/Enrollment Management –The section of the Senate meeting where J. Mimms spoke was summarized for the benefit of those who weren’t present. EC had significant discussion around a student-centric solution to Grad Check deadlines and aligning processes of students, Enrollment Management (EM), and faculty advisors. While EC is trying to understand the process, it’s for university administrators to manage its operation. Academic Program Operations has been working with Chairs and advisors to clean up the degree audits, academic plan and catalog; there are three things which need to be aligned to remedy students getting three different answers to questions about their academic plans. It’s an issue beyond Enrollment Management. The Provost
agreed that it requires a broader conversation and asked that the committees come up with the questions to give us what we need to know and how to frame the conversation.

d. Student Faculty Ratio (SFR) and Tenure Density Impact on Graduation Rates (hand out).
   Based on graduation rates from Graduation Initiative 2025 and the most recent academic planning database numbers for 21/23 campuses, correlations were made:
   - graduation rate for four-year and six-year, and Tenure Density, and
   - graduation rate four-year and six-year, and SFR

   The suggested goal for CSUB is to reach at least the system average for Tenure Density and SFR. Experience and studies show positive correlation between tenure density and student success. BPC will amend RES 171809 Continuation of Faculty Hiring Initiative to Promote Tenure Density to include getting up to CSU system average, the R² correlations and data source in the resolution, and tying $2.5 million to tenure density.

6. DISCUSSION ITEMS
   a. Honorary Doctorate Award
      i. Review Faculty Honorary Degree Committee’s (FHDC) recommendation and then submit approval to President's Office (hand out) J. Tarjan moved to approved recommendation on the condition that the EC receive a memo from Chair of FHAC stating FHDC’s decision. C. MacQuarrie seconded. All Approved.
   b. Elections issue: Unfilled school seats filled by At-large faculty. The policy is that when a school fails to produce nomination for a committee seat after two calls, the seat is filled through a university-wide election. Is that elected person from another school obligated to represent the school whose vacant seat became At-Large? There is an interest to introduce language in the Handbook to specify whether the policy is applied for URC, UPRC and/or Senate. J. Tarjan moved to refer to FAC for adding clarifying language to Handbook. All voted in favor.

7. AGENDA ITEMS FOR SENATE MEETING (Time Certain 10:45 a.m.)
   Announcements
   Consent Agenda
   Old Business
      a. RES 171806 University Program Review Committee Charge (Second Reading) *
      b. RES 171807 Amendment of Classroom Observation Policy (Second Reading) *
      c. RES 171808 University Review Committee Membership Nomination Exemption (Second Reading) *
      d. RES 171809 Continuation of Faculty Hiring Initiative to Promote Tenure Density (Second Reading)
      e. RES 171812 Academic Master Plan 2018-2019 to 2028-2029 (Second Reading)
      f. RES 171813 Faculty Participation in Budgetary Matters (Second Reading) *

   New Business
8. **COMMENTS FROM THE FLOOR**

* Changes to the University Handbook