Minutes of the NS&M Administrative Council Meeting  
Tuesday, April 13, 2010  
11:00 a.m.  
Science III Conference Room – Room 100

Present: Blanco, Baron, Bishop, Gebauer, Haddad, McBride, Meyer, Thomas, and Trigos. Boschini was excused as she is at CSUB-AV.

Meeting was called to order at 11:02 a.m.

1. Approval of Minutes  
   Tabled.

2. Dean’s Remarks  
   Tripartite Funding Model  
The Tripartite Funding Model is a refinement of NS&M’s being developed in Academic Programs and has three levels of funding, thus the name Tripartite. In major courses, the assumed class size is 20. University-wide requirements (UWR) will assume a funding level for a class size of 45, and courses that do not fit either of these categories will have 30. The major is funded the richest, whereas the UWR’s are the least and other is in between the two. The model assumes that a BA degree requires 60 units and the BS requires 80 in the major. Dr. Thomas asked why the actual units were not entered by program, not including the minor, as he believes NSM has higher requirements. Dr. Gebauer replied that some programs are bloated and will be rewarded by inputting the actual requirements. Dean Blanco added that there are columns in order to make adjustments for programs, such as Computer Science, that require more.

   The output calculates the number of additional FTEF needed, as well as the cost based on the replacement rate. The instructional salary allocation does not include the staff personnel or operating expenses. Dr. Gebauer remarked that the replacement rate is too low for the sciences. Dean Blanco estimates that if the school were funded at $5,200/FTES, it would provide the basic support to operate the school routinely. Dean Blanco will send the department chairs the funding model so they can try it. The funding model needs to be revisited in the fall 2010 as it will likely be implemented in 11-12 as no current funding model exists. Dean Blanco inquired if the NSM Administrative Council would like to invite the Provost to attend a future meeting so budget concerns can be addressed to her.

3. Brief Announcements  
   EO 665 for Developmental Courses  
   Students that have not successfully passed remediation are going to be issued a letter citing CSU Executive Order 665: Determination of Competence in English and Mathematics and options for completion.

4. Budget  
The NSM 10-11 budget allocation is anticipated to be the same as 09-10 adjusted budgets, except there will be no furlough in 10-11 (see NSM 10-11 Budget spreadsheet). The Chancellor’s Office position is that they are not requesting furloughs. The FTES targets were
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decreased by 6% as required and are adjusted to reflect what has been achieved in 09-10. The projected salary costs are based on personnel utilized in 09-10. We can look at the $/FTES column to see the simple average cost per FTES. In departments that have more tenured faculty that have been employed for a long time, the cost is often higher. Operating expenses must be carved out of the allocation. There are no identifiable funds that can be extracted from NSM departments to support Developmental Math despite the need. Dean Blanco is raising this issue to the campus level as it is not an issue exclusive to NSM. The next pages on the workbook are an example of changes that could be made in order to remain in budget.

Dr. Gebauer questioned as to how the FTES targets were arrived as an SFR for Chemistry of 25 or 26 is not acceptable and it looks like they are being punished for voluntary overloads. Dean Blanco answered that the target was based on what was achieved in 09-10 and asked Dr. Gebauer take some time to consider the overall information presented.

5. Curriculum
   None.

6. Associate Dean’s Report
   None.

7. Chairs’ Report
   None.

8. Old Business
   None.

9. New Business
   None.

10. Adjournment
    Meeting was adjourned at 12:29 p.m.

Meeting notes respectfully submitted,

Laura Ann Bishop